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## Context...



## The needs - Problem Description

- Develop an optimization tool capable to support the decision maker to:
- $\quad$ Select the harvesting areas for year 1 while balance specific criteria over the 5 years planning horizon (year 1 and years 2-5),
- Define the wood allocation for year 1 to fulfill the mills' demands and minimize the total costs.
- Provide an easy tool to use with a friendly GUI
- Test and validate the tool using real data (FMU data)
- Train the staff (end users) of the MRN (Québec)


## Approach

- Formulate the problem as a MIP with multiobjective function;
- Use What's Best as solver (Lindo),
- Easy to implement the model using the tool (embeded in Excel);
- Why to adopt such approach/solution:
- Short time to prototype and develop the tool;
- Easy to use (Excel spreadsheet);
- Licenses fees very low (500\$/license);
- No annual fees (licenses).



## Mathematical Formulation

Sets and Indexes

- $m \in M$ : Set of mills,
- $a \in A$ : Set of harvest areas,
- $p \in P$ : Set of wood assortments (raw material),
- $t \in T$ : Set of periods (planning horizon),
- cr $\in C R$ : Set of criteria to consider in the multiobjective function (procurement, transportation distance and cost, winter access, volume per stem, budget for sylvicultural prescriptions, percentage of certification).


## Mathematical Formulation

## Decision Variables:

- $X_{\text {ampt }}$ : Total flow from harvest area $a$ to mill $m$ for raw material $p\left(m^{3}\right)$ in period $t$.
- $Y_{a t}:$ Binary variable $=1$ if harvest area a is selected to be harvested in period $t, 0$ otherwise.
- Slack $_{u p}{ }^{\text {cr }}$, Slack $_{\text {down }}{ }^{\text {cr }}$ : Slack variables (up and down) for the optimization criteria (difference between target and actual value).


## Mathematical Formulation

Objective Function

- $\sum_{c r \in C R}$ Slack $_{u p}{ }^{c r}+$ Slack $_{\text {down }}{ }^{c r}$

The multi-objective function aims to minimize the summation over the difference between the target value and the real value for each optimization criterion for the selected harvest area.

## Mathematical Formulation

## Constraints:

- $\sum_{m \in M} X_{a m p t}=v_{a p} * Y_{a, t}, \quad \forall a, p, t$
- $\sum_{t} Y_{a, t} \leq 1, \forall a$

This constraint ensures that raw materials are harvested from selected harvest area does not exceed the total volume available to cut in that area.

- $\sum_{a} X_{a m p t} \leq m d_{p m}{ }^{m a x}, \forall m, p, t=1$
- $\sum_{a} X_{a m p t} \leq 4 * \boldsymbol{m d}_{p m}{ }^{\text {max }}, \forall m, p, t=2$
- $m d_{p m}{ }^{\text {min }} \leq \sum_{a} X_{\text {ampt }}, \forall m p, t=\mathbf{1}$
- $4 * m d_{p m}{ }^{\min } \leq \sum_{a} X_{a m p t}, \forall m, p, t=2$

This constraint expresses minimum and maximum demand per raw material required by mills.

## Mathematical Formulation

## Constraints:

- $\sum_{a \in A}$ cut $B_{a} * Y_{a t} \leq \operatorname{tot}_{t}, \forall t \in T$

This is the budget constraint preventing the total cost of the selected areas to exceed the available budget.

- $\sum_{a \in A} \operatorname{val}_{a}^{c r} * Y_{a t}-\operatorname{tar}^{c r} * \sum_{a \in A} Y_{a t}+\left(\right.$ Slack $_{u p}{ }^{c r}-$ Slack $\left._{\text {down }}{ }^{c r}\right)=\mathbf{0}, \forall t, c r$ A set of constraints that expresses the difference between the target value and real value for each optimization criterion


## Optimization tool

- Two main decisions
- Select the harvesting areas (year 1)
- Allocate the wood to the mills (year 1)
- The harvesting area is at the forest management unit (FMU) and not stand level.
- The tool is flexible and can be used at:
- Forest management unit level
- Regional level (aggregate FMUs) - transportation synergies



## Criteria and parameters - inputs

- The optimization takes into account the following criteria (economic, sylvicultural treatments, etc.):
- Procurement cost(\$/m^3 ),
- Average transportation distance ( km )
- Transportation cost ( $\$ / m^{\wedge} 3$ ),
- Winter accessibility (\%),

- Volume per stem( $m^{\wedge} 3 /$ stem),
- Certified surface ( $m^{\wedge} 3$ ).
- ...



## Criteria and parameters - inputs

The tool is flexible and can be configured for different needs - regional basis

| Active | Criteria to consider into the optimizatio | Type | Impact |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. Volume en garantie d'approvisionnement | Required | Sélec./Desti. | Constraints |
| 1 | 2. Coûts d'approvisionnement (sans le transport) | Optional | Selection | Target value |
| 1 | 3. Distance moyenne de transport | Optional | Selection | Target value |
| 1 | 4. Volume par tige moyen | Optional | Selection | Target value |
| 1 | 5. Volume par ha moyen | Optional | Selection | Target value |
| 1 | 6. Volume par km moyen | Optional | Selection | Target value |
| 1 | 7. Budget coupes partielles | Optional | Selection | Constraints |
| 1 | 8. \% de récolte hiver | Optional | Selection | Target value |
| 1 | 9. \% de CMO dans coupe de régénération (CR) | Optional | Selection | Target value |
| 1 | 10. Volumes sans preneurs | Optional | Selection | Minimiser |
| 1 | 11. Stratégie d'aménagement | Optional | Selection | Valeurs cibles |
| 1 | 12. Distance de transport chantiers/usines | Optional | Destination | Minimiser |
|  | 13. Coûts d'approvisionnement aux usines | Optional | Destination | Constraints |
|  | 14. Distances de transport chantiers/usines | Optional | Destination | Constraints |
|  | 15. Volume par tige par usine | Optional | Destination | Constraints |
|  | 16. \% récolte bois hiver | Optional | Destination | Constraints |
|  | 17. \% résineux moins désirable | Optional | Destination | Constraints |
|  | 18. \% feuillus moins désirable | Optional | Destination | Constraints |

## Decisions and results- outputs

## 1. Select harvesting areas for period 1

- Within the set of the harvesting area (upcoming 5 years), we need to select the area for year 1 while balancing with the upcoming periods (2-5 years) for different criteria and targets
- Example target : transportation distance


$\left.$| ID | Harvesting area |  | Selected |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | | Non |
| :---: |
| selected | \right\rvert\,
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## Decisions and results- outputs

Allocation for SPF Product
2. Determine the destination (where to deliver the wood)

- Define the assignments between the harvesting areas (selected in year 1) and the mills for each products,
- Calculate some key indicators for each mill and harvesting area

| Harvesting area 1 mill | Bois <br> K.M.S. <br> (GMI) <br> (L'Annonci <br> ation) | Coop forestière HautesLaurentid es | Forex Inc. (FermeNeuve) | Forex Inc. (RivièreRouge) | Groupe Crête St- <br> Faustin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANCRE |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAKER |  |  |  | 7877 |  |
| BANANE |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAZINET |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEAUDRY |  |  | 6558 |  |  |
| BEAUREGARD |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLEUET |  |  |  |  | 45464 |
| BOTTINE | 3200 |  |  |  | 9823 |
| BOULEAU |  |  |  |  |  |
| CABASTA_EST |  |  |  |  |  |
| CANARD |  |  |  |  |  |
| CERISE |  |  | 5949 |  |  |
| ... |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | Transportation distance | Procurement | Average volume per stem | \% of seasonal harvesting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination | Products | Allocation | km | \$ | m ${ }^{3}$ /stem | \% |
| Bois K.M.S. (GMI) (L'Annonciation) |  | 3200 | 137 | 67.55 | 0.17 | 1.00 |
| Coop forestière Hautes-Laurentides |  | 500 | 25 | 50.76 | 0.16 | 1.00 |
| Forex Inc. (Ferme-Neuve) |  | 323600 | 103 | 56.46 | 0.15 | 0.50 |
| Forex Inc. (Rivière-Rouge) |  | 84800 | 106 | 52.94 | 0.15 | 0.36 |
| Groupe Crête St-Faustin | SPF | 91000 | 136 | 58.85 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| NaM1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nisis |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nis 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nis |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
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## Why such opimization tool ? (FPAlloc)

- Speed up the planning process of the selection and allocation of harvesting area to the mills (3-4 weeks of workload reduced to 1-2 days for more than 50 end-users)
- Allow to simulate different allocation scenario and assess the economics of such decisions
- Support the interaction between the governments and industry - support tool
- Tradeoff between different optimization criteria (multiobjective planning)
- Economic,
- Sylvicultural,

- Forest management practices.


## Ongoing work and next steps

- Include more spatial issues in the allocation and harvesting area

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \operatorname{Min} \\
& \text { S.T } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
W_{i j} \leq Y_{i} \quad \forall i \in \sum_{l} \sum_{I / j \neq i} d_{i j} W_{i j} \\
W_{i j} \leq Y_{j} \quad \forall i ; \forall j \in I \\
W_{i j} \geq Y_{i}+Y_{j}-1 \quad \forall i \in I ; \forall j \in I
\end{array} \\
& \qquad \quad \forall i \in I ; \forall j \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ : Linearization parameter that take the value 1 if both areas $i$ and $j$ are selected, 0 otherwise.

## Ongoing work and next steps

- Include more spatial issues in the allocation and harvest


Fig. 3. Spatial representation of neighbor's definition as the number of areas within a predefined scope of radius $d$ centered on harvest area $i$.

Objective function

$$
\operatorname{disp}=\sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in A}^{a \neq b} \frac{d_{a b}{ }^{2}}{n_{a b}} \cdot W_{a b}
$$

$d_{a b}$ : Distance between the harvest areas $a$ and $b$ $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ : Neighborhood parameter defined as the product of the harvest areas $a$ and $b$ neighbors value $n_{a b}=n_{a}^{*} n_{b}$

- Two main modifications to better fit the spatial dispersion :
- Introduce a neighborhood factor to promote the selection of dense clusters of harvest areas.
- Consider squared distance to balance its impact with the neighborhood factor and promote the selection of a maximum amount of areas in a same cluster before moving to another one.


## Some results....

|  | Data |  |  | Resolution time |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Problem size | $\mathbf{v}_{\text {ap }}$ | SCIP | CBC | CPLEX |
| Test 1 | 150 Areas | Constant | 157 sec | 730 sec | 42 sec |
| Test 2 | 100 Areas | Variable | 2011 s sec | 512 sec | 213 sec |



Fig. 3. Spatial representation of the selected harvest areas (red filled diamond) vs. non selected harvest area (open diamond) for Test 2.
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## Some results....

| Tests |  | SCIP | CBC | CPLEX | GUROBI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Disp + ADist | 60 min | 153 min | 16 min | 7 min |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Disp + Stem + ADist | 26 min | 60 min | 8 min | 6 min |

ADist : Average transportation distance between harvest area a and mills
Stem : Average volume per stem


Fig. 4. Spatial representation of the selected harvest areas (red filled diamond) vs. non selected harvest area (open diamond) for Test 2.

## Some results....

| Tests | Criteria | Resolution time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | dispersion | GUROBI : 49 sec |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | dispersion + Stem + ADist | GUROBI : 2h46 min |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | dispersion + ADist | CPLEX : 14 min |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | dispersion + ADist + detailed mills demand of raw material | CPLEX : Out of memory GAP 49\% <br> GUROBI : 15 min <br> $\mathbf{5}$ |
| dispersion + Stem + ADist + detailed mills demand of raw | CPLEX : Out of memory GAP 70\% <br> GUROBI : 19 min |  |



Fig. 5. Spatial representation of the selected harvest areas (red) vs.
non selected harvest area for Test 1, 2, and 3.
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## Rank-Order Clustering Algorithm (King's algorithm)

- Step 1: Assign binary weight and determine decimal weight for each row and column say "Wi" and "Wj"

$$
W_{i}=\sum_{p=1}^{p=m} b_{i p} 2^{m-p}
$$

- $m$ is the total number of columns
- $i$ is the number of row
- bip is either 0 or 1 depending upon the matrix.
- Step 2: Rearrange the rows to make "Wi" fall in descending order.
- Step 3: Repeat steps 1 and 2 for each column, then go to step 1 again.
- Step 4: Repeat above steps until there is no further change in position of each element in each row and column.
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## Rank-Order Clustering Algorithm - ROC (King's algorithm)

- Define a radius where all harvest area within the radius are included in the cluster;
- Transform the matrix distance into $0 / 1$ variables to apply the ROC algorithm:
- If the distance $d_{i j}$ between harvest area i and $\mathrm{j} \leq d$ then 1
- If the distance $d_{i j}$ between harvest area i and $\mathrm{j} \geq d$ then 0

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |

## Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points)

- For each cluster (previous algorithm) perform the following clustering:
- Find the two far distant points (extreme points);
- Divide this cluster into two clusters based on the distance (each harvest area is associated to the closest extreme point);
- Iterate the last two steps until there is no cluster with more than two harvest areas.
- Minimizing dispersion, is equivalent to minimizing the number of clusters open at each layer (harvest area within the cluster is open)


Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points)
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Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points) - Layer 1
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Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points) - Layer 2
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Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points) - Layer 3
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Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points) - Layer 4
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Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points) - Layer 5
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Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points) - Layer 6
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Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points) - Layer 7
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Clustering based on the cardinal points (the two most distant points) - Layer 8
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## Application to real case <br> Spatial dispersion is not minimized

Harvest areas to allocate in year 1 (22 areas)

Harvest areas to allocate in years 2-5
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## Application to real case Minimize dispersion

- Criteria: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13 (13=人 1 P )
- Number of open harvest areas $=12$
- MST = 220 km
- Time $=69$ seconds

Harvest areas to allocate in year 1 (12 areas)
$970^{\circ}$

## $\leftarrow$

Harvest areas to allocate in years 2-5
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## Application to real case Minimize dispersion



- Criteria : 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13 (13=not important)
- Number of open harvest areas $=18$
- MST = 491 km
- Time= 342 seconds
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## Weakness of the Clustering based on the cardinal points



Weakness: There may be two areas that are very close to each other but aren't in the same cluster.

## Concluding remarks and future research

- The optimization tool is widely used at the MRN for planning and wood allocation;
- Key buy-in elements: easy to use (Excel based tool) and the involvement of the end user since the beginning of optimization tool;
- Challenges:
- Address the spatial dispersion (other clustering algorithms);
- Explore other methods to normalize the weights of the objective function;
- Integrate the tool within the entire framework planning at the MRN;
- Include coordination mechanisms between the mills for more synergies;
- Include road building into the model (not all harvest areas are connected to the road network);
- Etc.
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